IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,)
Plaintiff,)
V.) Case No. 1:25-CV-2695-MHC
DOES 1-10,) FILED UNDER SEAL
Defendants.)
)
	J

APPLICATION OF MICROSOFT CORPORATION FOR AN EMERGENCY EX PARTE ORDER FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER, PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION, AND RELATED RELIEF

Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft"), by counsel, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(b) and (c) as well as the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030 ("CFAA"); the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. § 1125); the Copyright Act (17 U.S.C. § 101); and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (18 U.S.C. § 1962(c)), respectfully moves the Court for an emergency *ex parte* temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, and related relief as follows.

As discussed in Plaintiff's brief in support of this Application, Plaintiff requests an order (1) directing Defendants, their service providers, and/or those acting in concert therewith to preserve evidence related to, and to cease from using

or permitting to be used the infrastructure identified in Microsoft's proposed TRO to operate the Lumma; (2) enjoining Defendants from further violations of the CFAA, Lanham Act, and RICO Act; and (3) directing Defendants to show cause why they should not be preliminarily enjoined from the violations of law described in Plaintiff's Brief in Support of Application of Microsoft Corporation for an Emergency *Ex Parte* Order for Temporary Restraining Order, Preliminary Injunction, and Related Relief and in Plaintiff's Complaint.

Ex parte relief – and expedited consideration under Local Rule 7.2(b) – is necessary and essential to halt Defendants' unlawful activity. If Defendants are given prior notice, they will significantly impede, if not preclude, Plaintiff's ability to obtain effective relief against Defendants. This is because Defendants are highly sophisticated cybercriminals capable of quickly adapting the command and control infrastructure used to secretly establish themselves on a victim's network.

Plaintiffs' Application is based on: (i) this Application, (ii) Plaintiff's Brief in Support of this Application, (iii) the declarations of Jakub Tomanek, Derek Richardson, Igor Aronov, Rodelio Finones, and Robert Uriarte in support of this Application, and (iv) on such arguments and evidence as may be presented at any hearing on this Application.

Plaintiff further respectfully requests oral argument on this motion to be set for May 14, 2025 or as soon thereafter as the Court deems possible.

Dated: May 14, 2025 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Joshua D. Curry

Joshua D. Curry

Joshua D. Curry (Georgia Bar No. 117378) Jonathan D. Goins (Georgia Bar No. 738593) LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 600 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 4700 Atlanta, GA 30308

Tel: 404.348.8585 Fax: 404.467.8845

josh.curry@lewisbrisbois.com jonathan.goins@lewisbrisbois.com

Robert L. Uriarte (*pro hac vice*) ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 355 S. Grand Ave.

Ste. 2700

Los Angeles, CA 90017

Tel: (213) 629-2020 Fax: (213) 612-2499 ruriarte@orrick.com

Jacob M. Heath (pro hac vice)
Ana M. Mendez-Villamil (pro hac vice)
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
The Orrick Building
405 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: (415) 773-5700
Fax: (415) 773-5759
jheath@orrick.com
amendez-villamil@orrick.com

Lauren Baron (pro hac vice)
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

51 West 52nd Street New York, NY 10019 Tel: (212) 506-5000 Fax: (212) 506-5151 lbaron@orrick.com

Of Counsel:

Richard Boscovich MICROSOFT CORPORATION Microsoft Redwest Building C 5600 148th Ave NE Redmond, Washington 98052

Tel: (425) 704-0867 Fax: (425) 936-7329 rbosco@microsoft.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to L.R. 7.1(D), N.D. Ga., counsel for Plaintiffs hereby certifies that this Application has been prepared with one of the font and point selections approved by the Court in L.R. 5.1, N.D. Ga.

Dated: May 14, 2025 /s/ Joshua D. Curry